```
1
                IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA
2
3
    United States of America,
4
5
                Plaintiff,
6
                                   FILE NO. 3:22-cr-10-01
            VS.
7
    Macalla Lee Knott,
8
               Defendant.
9
10
11
12
13
                      TRANSCRIPT
14
                             O F
15
                    PROCEEDINGS
16
               Change of Plea - March 13, 2023
17
                         Pages 1-32
18
19
20
21
22
    HELD AT: QUENTIN N. BURDICK UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
             655 FIRST AVENUE NORTH
23
             FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA 58102
    BEFORE: THE HONORABLE PETER D. WELTE
24
25
    COURT REPORTER: KELLY A. KROKE
```

```
1
                   APPEARANCES
2
    MR. CHRISTOPHER C. MYERS
                               COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF;
    Office of U.S. Attorney
3
    655 1st Avenue North, Ste. 250
    Fargo, ND 58102
4
5
                              COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT;
   MS. TANYA M. MARTINEZ
    Attorney at Law
6
    3332 4th Avenue South
    Fargo, ND 58103
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (March 13, 2023, the following proceedings 3 commenced at 2:35 p.m.:) THE COURT: We're on the record and the case 4 before the Court is the United States vs. Macalla Knott. 5 Ms. Martinez, did I pronounce that 6 7 correctly? 8 MS. MARTINEZ: Yes, you did, Your Honor. 9 Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Knott is present 11 represented by Tanya Martinez. The United States is 12 represented by Chris Myers. And we're here for a change 13 of plea and the United States Department of Probation is not present but Dyan Jorgenson will be the probation 14 15 officer assigned to this matter. That's Dyan Jorgenson. 16 I have a Third Superseding Indictment that 17 I'm working from as Document 225 in this matter and, 18 Ms. Knott, since we've kind of framed up the issue I think the next matter would be to have you placed under 19 20 oath if you would please raise your right hand. Thank 2.1 you. Lori will administer an oath. 22 (Oath administered.) 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Ms. Knott, how are you doing 25 this afternoon?

```
1
                THE DEFENDANT: I'm good. How are you?
2
                THE COURT: I'm good, thank you. I'm doing
3
    fine. You've been in custody since the 25th of August
    give or take. Does that sound about right?
4
5
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                Yup.
                THE COURT: Okay. And where have you been
6
7
    in custody?
8
                THE DEFENDANT: I was in Texas and then now
9
    I'm in North Dakota, Cass County Jail.
                THE COURT: Okay. All right. And during
10
11
    this time in custody, Ms. Knott, have you had adequate
12
    access to Ms. Martinez?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. And you understand why
14
15
    we're here today?
16
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17
                THE COURT: It's a pretty thick third
18
    Superseding Indictment. It's a 26-page document and the
19
    Plea Agreement itself is a 19-page document. So you've
20
    had enough time to prepare for this hearing and to
2.1
    proceed today?
22
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
                THE COURT: Okay. Are you satisfied with
24
    the legal representation that you've received in this
25
    matter?
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Okay. The Plea Agreement calls 3 for a voluntary plea of guilty to Count One, Four and Five of the Third Superseding Indictment and then also 4 for an admission to the forfeiture allegation. You 5 6 understand that? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Count One of the Third 8 9 Superseding Indictment is a drug conspiracy charge. 10 Count Four is a -- one moment please, is an 11 international money laundering conspiracy charge and 12 then there's Count Five, which is the charge of continuing criminal enterprise. Those are the three 13 charges that you'd be pleading guilty to in the Third 14 15 Superseding Indictment. 16 Now, Ms. Knott, in addition to those pleas 17 of quilty, what's contemplated at sentencing is that 18 Count Two and Count Eight of the Third Superseding Indictment would be dismissed. That's at least what I 19 20 see in the Plea Agreement. 2.1 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 22 THE COURT: So, Ms. Knott, does that seem 23 like a summary of the Plea Agreement that you thought 24 you were coming to talk about today? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

```
1
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, Ms. Martinez, would
2
    you and your client wish the Court to specifically
3
    review each particular count of the Indictment or would
4
    you waive such a reading?
                (The defendant and her counsel conferring.)
5
                MS. MARTINEZ: We'll waive it, Your Honor.
 6
7
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
8
                With regards to this Plea Agreement then,
9
    Ms. Knott, voluntary pleas of guilty to One, Four and
10
    Five and an admission to the forfeiture allegation.
11
    do understand that?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. And you understand that
14
    this is not a Plea Agreement that's binding upon the
15
    Court and it's also not binding on probation.
16
    understand that?
17
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
                THE COURT: So the practical consequence of
19
    that -- even though you understand it and I have no
    reason to believe Ms. Martinez hasn't reviewed that with
20
2.1
    you, but the practical impact of that is that if the
    Court imposes sentence that's not consistent with the
22
23
    Plea Agreement or if the Department of Probation comes
24
    up with something in the Presentence Investigation
25
    Report that isn't to your liking, although you
```

2.1

definitely will have a chance to object and to place that objection before the Court and to have the Court rule on the objection, you wouldn't get to withdraw your guilty plea because the Court's not a party to the Plea Agreement and neither is probation.

You understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. The factual basis in this Plea Agreement is pretty important and the factual basis is paragraph 6 which runs from page 2 of the Plea Agreement all the way up to page I think it's 12. That's correct, page 2 almost through page 12 is paragraph 6 of this Plea Agreement. Now why do I bring that up? I bring it up because paragraph 6 is meant to establish a factual basis for the charges that you would be convicted of here.

In order for the United States to sustain their burden of proof, Ms. Knott, there must be a factual basis that establishes the essential elements of the three crimes to which you'd be pleading guilty and also to the forfeiture allegation that you'd be admitting, okay?

So with paragraph 6 of the Plea Agreement what's going to happen here is if we get through this hearing and you end up pleading guilty, I'm going to

```
1
    adopt paragraph 6 and I'm going to give you a chance to
2
    object to it, okay?
                THE DEFENDANT:
3
                                 Okay.
                THE COURT: Additionally, Mr. Myers is very
 4
    likely to put more facts on the record verbally and I'm
5
6
    just going to instruct you at that time to listen up
7
    because if he says anything that you don't agree with
    Ms. Martinez will object for you, okay?
8
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                 Okay.
                THE COURT: All right. Now paragraph 7 from
10
11
    the Plea Agreement which is beginning on page 12, it
12
    sets forth the maximum sentences in this matter.
    Maximum sentence to Count One is life in prison but
13
    there's a 15-year minimum mandatory that's contemplated
14
    in Count One. Maximum fine is $20 million.
15
    term of supervised release is 10 years and the maximum
16
17
    special assessment is $100.
18
                On Count Four the maximum term of
19
    imprisonment is 20 years. Maximum fine is $250,000,
20
    Ms. Knott. Maximum supervised release is three years
2.1
    and there's a $100 special assessment as well on that
22
    count.
23
                On Count Five the maximum term of
24
    imprisonment is life and there's a minimum mandatory of
25
    life. There's a $2 million fine that's contemplated as
```

2.1

a maximum fine for Count Five, three years of supervised release and a \$100 special assessment. Those are the maximum sentences in this matter.

Do you have any questions for me about the maximum sentences?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Now by pleading guilty to these crimes you would be surrendering and waiving your right to a speedy and public jury trial.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. That right is not really a stand-alone right. I mean, it is but it's actually comprised of a whole bunch of other rights that go into that. That includes the right to select the jury with Ms. Martinez. You'd be surrendering and waiving your right to pick a jury. You'd be surrendering and waiving your right to require that the jury return a unanimous verdict. If we went to trial I would instruct the jury that I won't accept any verdict that is not a 12 nothing verdict.

I would also instruct the jury that you're presumed innocent and I would bring that up very early in the jury selection process. I would talk about the roles of the party of Mr. Myers to prosecute and Ms. Martinez to defend zealously within the bounds of

2.1

the law. But I would say that your role would be just to show up and be present; that you're presumed innocent and that you have no role in this case. There's no requirement that you testify. You have a privilege against self-incrimination and that's one of your rights. And if you chose not to testify I would instruct the jury that that's your right and that they can't infer that it means that you're guilty in any way. In fact, I would tell them that it can't be evidence of anything, your declining to testify or choosing not to testify, because that's a constitutional privilege that you hold.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Now there's a burden of proof in this matter. The burden of proof is proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Now I spoke to you earlier about you being presumed innocent. That means that these are scales of justice and this here is Macalla Knott. That means that since you're presumed innocent you start out with an advantage, okay? The United States must present enough evidence to overcome that by proof beyond a reasonable doubt. That's the highest burden of proof in our system and it's a burden of proof that is solely borne by the United States. You have no burden of proof in this matter.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Now you also have the 3 right to present evidence and to present witnesses. if you couldn't get witnesses here voluntarily, 4 Ms. Martinez would let me know and I would order them to 5 appear for you and I would order them to testify for 6 7 you. 8 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 9 THE COURT: Also you have the right to examine the evidence of Mr. Myers and the United States 10 11 and you also have the right to cross-examine the 12 witnesses of the United States. You also have the right to remain silent and I'm sure when you were arrested you 13 were advised of that right. 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Under the terms of the 17 Plea Agreement, I'm engaging in colloquy with you just 18 to make sure that I know that you know what you're 19 getting into and that your eyes are wide open, okay? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 2.1 THE COURT: Okay. So, Ms. Knott, all of these are rights that you have under our constitutional 22

these are rights that you have under our constitutional scheme and under case law and they're rights to a speedy and public jury trial. You're surrendering and waiving all of those rights by pleading guilty.

```
Do you understand that?
1
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2
3
                THE COURT: Now is that a decision that
    you've discussed and counseled with Ms. Martinez about?
4
5
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                THE COURT: Okay. And you've also counseled
6
7
    with her about the consequences of that decision?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8
9
                THE COURT: Okay. So let me phrase it
    differently. Is this her decision or is this your
10
    decision?
11
12
                THE DEFENDANT: Mine because I'm quilty.
                THE COURT: Okay. So you're pleading guilty
13
    because you are, in fact, quilty of the offenses?
14
15
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
                THE COURT: Okay. Now you do understand
17
    that the Court will impose sentence according to the
18
    Sentencing Guidelines?
19
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
                THE COURT: Okay. That's set forth a little
2.1
    bit in paragraph 10 but given the procedural posture of
22
    your case and the substance of your case I just wanted
23
    to briefly review with you the factors under 18 U.S.
24
    Code 3553(a). Those are sometimes called the (a)
25
    factors by the practitioners of the law and there's
```

seven of them.

2.1

They include the nature and the circumstances of the offense and the history and the characteristics of Macalla Knott. They include the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the purposes of sentencing. That means deterrence, respect for the law, rehabilitation, protection of the public. These purposes of sentencing must be a consideration of the Court.

The Court must consider the types of sentences available, including whether probation is permitted or on the other end of the spectrum whether or not there's a minimum mandatory sentence.

The Court must consider the sentencing range that's established by application of the guidelines.

When Ms. Martinez was counseling you, I suspect at some point she presented a grid to you that looks like this (indicating). Does that look familiar?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The Court must consider that range. It's not mandatory on the Court. It's just something that the Court must consider as an advisory range.

The fifth factor that the Court must consider are the policy statements that are set forth in

```
1
    the United States Sentencing Guidelines, okay?
2
                THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
3
                THE COURT: The Court must also consider the
    need to provide restitution of victims, Ms. Knott, and
4
    also must consider the need to avoid unwarranted
5
6
    sentencing disparities amongst defendants with similar
7
    records who have been found quilty of similar conduct.
                Those seven factors are the factors the
8
9
    Court must consider under 18 U.S. Code 3553(a) in
    imposing sentence, okay?
10
11
                THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
12
                THE COURT: That's a really long-winded way
    of telling you that's what paragraph 10 is. Do you have
13
    any questions about paragraph 10?
14
15
                THE DEFENDANT: No.
                THE COURT: You've reviewed that paragraph
16
    with Ms. Martinez?
17
18
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
                MS. MARTINEZ: We have, Your Honor.
20
    looking through the many pages to find out.
2.1
                THE COURT: Could you pull your mic down.
22
                MS. MARTINEZ:
                               I'm looking through the many
23
    pages because I've lost where the Court is at now.
24
                THE COURT: Absolutely. You'll need to bend
25
    the microphone down to your voice box level and then I'm
```

```
on page 15 of the Plea Agreement. I just finished
1
2
    page 14. At the bottom of page 14 is paragraph 10 of
3
    the Plea Agreement.
                MS. MARTINEZ: That's where we're at.
                                                        Thank
4
5
    you.
6
                THE COURT: You bet. Feel free to stop us
7
    at any time, okay?
                MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
8
9
                THE COURT: You're welcome.
10
                Ms. Knott, the Plea Agreement as I mentioned
    is binding upon the United States Attorney's Office and
11
    you but not on the Court and probation. You understand
12
    that?
13
14
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15
                THE COURT: Now Mr. Myers is an Assistant
    United States Attorney with the District of North
16
17
    Dakota, okay? Now he represents that office.
18
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                Okay.
19
                THE COURT: In this particular case there's
20
    a Plea Agreement that's binding upon the United States
2.1
    Attorney's Office for the District of North Dakota but
22
    no other U.S. Attorney's Office or any local prosecutors
23
    as well. You understand that?
24
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
                THE COURT: Okay. The parties are agreeing
```

```
1
    that the base offense level under 5G1.3(b) as in boy is
2
    life imprisonment. At sentencing the United States is
3
    agreeing to recommend a two-level downward adjustment
    for accepting responsibility and one level for timely
4
    notification. At sentencing the United States will
5
6
    recommend a sentence at the low end of the guideline
7
    range or the minimum mandatory, whichever is greater,
    and will move to dismiss Count Two and Eight of the
8
9
    Third Superseding Indictment.
10
                THE DEFENDANT:
                               Okay.
11
                THE COURT: Is that your understanding?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
                THE COURT: I'm going to go off script a
14
    little bit here. Mr. Myers, is there any reason to go
15
    in-camera for this particular hearing today?
16
                MR. MYERS: No, Your Honor.
17
                THE COURT: Ms. Martinez, you agree with
18
    that?
19
                MS. MARTINEZ:
                               I agree.
20
                THE COURT: Okay. All right.
2.1
                Now, Ms. Knott --
22
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
                THE COURT: -- I want to draw your attention
24
    to paragraph 20 of the Plea Agreement which is on
25
    page 17, okay? United States will file a Plea Agreement
```

```
1
    Supplement in this case and they have done that and it
2
    bears the signature of Chris Myers, your attorney,
3
    Ms. Martinez, and you. Now they do that routinely in
    every case whether there's additional terms or not,
4
    okay? It's just a matter of course. You are
5
6
    acknowledging that no threats or promises or
7
    representations exist beyond the terms of this Plea
    Agreement; is that true?
8
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10
                THE COURT: Okay. You're waiving your right
11
    to appeal this matter. You understand that?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. Now you're reserving the
    right to appeal in two instances. One would be if the
14
15
    Court imposes a sentence above the upper end of the
16
    Court-determined guideline range. So when we get to
17
    sentencing and I look at Ms. Jorgenson's PSIR and we
18
    determine the guideline range, if I impose a sentence
    above the upper end of that then the waiver of appeal
19
20
    doesn't apply, okay?
2.1
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                Okay.
22
                THE COURT: There's one other instance and
23
    that is if you develop a claim of ineffective assistance
24
    of counsel. Do you understand that?
25
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: You're satisfied with your
2
    lawyer, correct?
3
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 4
                THE COURT: Has she answered all of your
5
    questions?
6
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
7
                THE COURT: Okay. Has there been any
    questions that you've had that have gone unanswered?
8
9
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                 No.
                THE COURT: Has she been available to you as
10
11
    you so desire?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
                THE COURT: By pleading guilty you are
    specifically waiving your right to seek to withdraw your
14
15
    quilty plea in this matter. That means that after I
    accept your plea you won't be given a chance to have
16
17
    buyer's remorse.
18
                Do you understand that?
19
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                 Yes.
20
                THE COURT: Ms. Knott, I've summarized the
2.1
    Plea Agreement and I've gone over it with some level of
22
    specificity. But you've indicated that you have
23
    reviewed this Plea Agreement line for line and letter
24
    for letter with your lawyer, correct?
25
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
```

```
THE COURT: So you have read and you do
1
2
    understand the Plea Agreement?
3
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                THE COURT: Okay. Does the Plea Agreement
4
    that I just reviewed with you represent your full
5
6
    understanding with the United States?
7
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                THE COURT: Okay. Other than what's in the
8
9
    Plea Agreement, have there been any other promises or
    assurances that have been made in order to get Kayla
10
11
    Knott to plead quilty?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: No.
                THE COURT: Anybody threatened you to make
13
14
    you plead quilty?
15
                THE DEFENDANT: No.
16
                THE COURT: You're pleading guilty because
    you are, in fact, quilty of these crimes?
17
18
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
                THE COURT: Okay. And you understand that
20
    it's a nonbinding Plea Agreement?
2.1
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
                THE COURT: And, Ms. Martinez, all formal
23
    plea offers were communicated to your client?
24
                MS. MARTINEZ: Pardon, Your Honor?
25
                THE COURT: All formal plea offers were
```

```
1
    communicated to your client?
2
                MS. MARTINEZ: Yes, Your Honor.
3
                THE COURT: Thank you. This would be a
    felony conviction. There would be three of them
4
5
    actually, which means that you would be not able to vote
6
    or hold public office or serve on a jury or possess a
7
    firearm.
8
                Do you understand that?
9
                THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
                THE COURT: Okay. Any questions for me
10
11
    about your rights?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: No.
13
                THE COURT: Any questions for me about the
14
    Plea Agreement?
15
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                No.
16
                THE COURT: Are you ready to enter pleas in
17
    this matter, Ms. Knott?
18
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
                THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to walk through
20
    these three counts with you. To Count One of the Third
2.1
    Superseding Indictment, do you plead guilty or not
22
    quilty?
23
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                 Guilty.
                THE COURT: To Count Four of the Third
24
25
    Superseding Indictment, do you plead quilty or not
```

```
1
    quilty?
2
                THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
3
                THE COURT: And to Count Five of the Third
    Superseding Indictment, do you plead quilty or not
4
    guilty?
5
6
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                 Guilty.
7
                THE COURT: Okay. With regards to the
    forfeiture allegation that's set forth in the Third
8
9
    Superseding Indictment, do you admit or deny that
10
    allegation?
                THE DEFENDANT: Admit.
11
12
                THE COURT: Okay. Now I talked about
    paragraph 6 of the Plea Agreement starting on page 2 and
13
    I believe that you've indicated to me that you have
14
    reviewed paragraph 6 of the Plea Agreement with your
15
16
    lawyer, correct?
17
                THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
18
                THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to adopt
19
    paragraph 6 of the Plea Agreement as a framework for a
    factual basis to sustain the essential elements of the
20
2.1
    three pleas of guilty and the forfeiture allegation.
22
    I --
23
                MS. MARTINEZ: Your Honor -- I apologize,
24
    Your Honor, what page are you on?
25
                THE COURT: I'm actually back to page 2 of
```

```
1
    the Plea Agreement but it is -- Ms. Martinez, it's
2
    actually page 2 through 12 of the Plea Agreement.
3
                MS. MARTINEZ: Got you. Thank you, Your
    Honor.
4
5
                THE COURT: Sure, paragraph 6. So I'm
6
    adopting that by reference and incorporating it into
7
    these proceedings. It bears your signature.
                Ms. Martinez, do you have any objection to
8
9
    paragraph 6?
10
                MS. MARTINEZ: No, Your Honor. We've been
11
    over this.
12
                THE COURT: Okay. Now the second part of
    this is I'm going to ask Mr. Myers if he wishes to add
13
    anything. So just listen up right now and if he says
14
15
    anything that you object to you'll get to posit that
    objection at the end.
16
17
                Go ahead, sir.
18
                MR. MYERS: Thank you, Your Honor. And I'll
19
    cover additional factual basis for all three counts here
20
    today and the forfeiture allegation. This conspiracy
2.1
    essentially began before 2019 but, as it relates to the
22
    Indictment and the charges in this case, I'll focus on
23
    2019 to the present.
24
                Ms. Knott began to traffic drugs in the
25
    St. Cloud area upon being released from prison in
```

2.1

Shakopee in the summer and fall of 2019 and through the course of this conspiracy had two primary sources of supply. Both of these individuals we've identified fully. Both of them are Mexican Nationals. Both of them are currently in Mexico.

Miss Knott began arranging transactions and conducting transactions on numerous occasions in 2019 into early 2020. Primarily at that time it was large quantities of methamphetamine, a pound, sometimes multiple pounds. Of course, there were smaller transactions and I won't go through all of the transactions because there's hundreds and hundreds of them through the course of this conspiracy by various co-conspirators. As the conspiracy evolved, she basically was a leader, manager and supervisor of this conspiracy into Minnesota and other areas of the midwest, including North Dakota.

In March of 2020 she decided to go down to Mexico and lived in Mexico until her arrest in the fall, early fall of 2022. From Mexico she was able to arrange and manage shipments from the sources of supply into Minnesota using various distributors and various people to distribute, collect money and then send money back to Mexico at her direction. Those people that she supervised are listed in the Indictment and there are

2.1

more than five. As a matter of fact, there's probably 20. But I'll list those just for the record as alleged in the Indictment that the Court's already adopted. But Melanie Quick, Jeff Knott, Tiffany Keomany, James Garner, Demian Hebert, Robert Radka, Joseph Myers and Evan Laudinger. Each of these people played different roles in the conspiracy. Some were involved in handling money, concealing money, sending money. Others were involved in transporting and distributing very large quantities of methamphetamine. There was also cocaine moved during the conspiracy and toward the end quantities of fentanyl but it was primarily methamphetamine during the conspiracy.

We've listed as overt acts in the Indictment and Miss Knott was involved in directing and arranging those shipments except for the hundred pounds of methamphetamine and nine pounds of fentanyl in one of the paragraphs. That was done after she was in custody in Mexico or the United States. I don't remember the dates but she was not involved in that particular transaction. We've identified the source of supply in Mexico that was responsible for that particular transaction.

That would establish the continuing series of transactions. There were numerous others and as part

2.1

of the conspiracy there was hundreds and hundreds of pounds moved by Miss Knott of methamphetamine which would establish the requisite quantity for a mandatory life sentence as far as engaging in a continuing criminal enterprise.

related to the substantial income prong of the continuing criminal enterprise, we've alleged the enterprise or conspiracy moved approximately \$10 million and that is based on the drug quantity that we estimate is involved. And we expect that to be a money judgment at the end at sentencing and perhaps we can agree on the requisite money judgment at that time. There's -- and this is clear from the discovery now as it's evolved and has -- and I wanted to highlight this for Miss Jorgenson.

The drug quantity of methamphetamine is fairly clear as it relates to hundreds of pounds of methamphetamine. What is not clear is the quantity of cocaine moved by this conspiracy and we, through the cooperation of a number of witnesses, have determined that some of the cocaine quantities may have been embellished and we were provided false information and that's been highlighted in the discovery. I wanted to make sure that Miss Jorgenson was aware of that.

2.1

There was a ledger provided to us that relates to 24 kilos of cocaine moved per month, and we've determined through the assistance of a number of witnesses that that may have been false. It doesn't matter as it relates to the charges that Miss Knott is pleading guilty to, but it may affect the overall forfeiture money that relates to this overall enterprise.

And so I just wanted to highlight that right now. We're still investigating that and there have been a number of witnesses that have helped us make that determination. And so that's why there's a little bit of uncertainty as to the monetary amount but suffice it to say there was kilogram quantities of cocaine being moved in any event. That's a large amount and ultimately a large amount of fentanyl as well.

So I think that covers the elements of the continuing criminal enterprise. Just to put a fine point on the elements of the money laundering conspiracy, as I noted there were a number of people moving money through various financial transactions, money transmitting systems like Western Union or bank transfers or monetary moving systems like Remitly. And they would move this money and send it to various designees in Mexico.

2.1

And so Miss Knott would tell, for example,

Melanie Quick: Send the money to Kimberly Eder in

Mexico, or Eder Kimberly I think is the name, in Mexico,

and Melanie would then send the money to that designee

who was somebody that was working on behalf of the

organization just to accept the money. And so the

purpose of that -- the movement of money in that fashion

was to conceal the nature and source of the income that

was being provided by sending it to people that were not

necessarily involved in the actual drug trafficking.

And it was also done to further promote the ongoing

conspiracy.

And so there was a number of people involved in this aspect. Tiffany Keomany sent and received a bunch of money as well as distributed large quantities of methamphetamine. So when I say these -- there were numerous people having different roles, some of them were drug trafficking and receiving and sending money. Some just played the part of money. Some just played the part of drug trafficking. But they all took direction at some level from Miss Knott who again was in Mexico.

Law enforcement then identified where she was in Mexico. She was apprehended and because she's a U.S. citizen was expelled from Mexico and was quickly

2.1

transported to Brownsville, Texas where I think she mentioned she was housed for a short amount of time before being brought up here. So I think I've covered broadly, Judge, the elements for the charges.

I noted in previous hearings and I'll note it again for the record venue for North Dakota. In the fall of 2021 West Fargo and Fargo PD began purchasing methamphetamine here in Fargo from a lady named Mary Thompson. Mary Thompson was selling methamphetamine here in Fargo on a number of occasions where law enforcement made controlled buys from her. Ultimately they arrested her with I think a quarter or a half-pound of meth here in Fargo. She began to cooperate and she ultimately arranged for the arrest of Robert Radka who is from Melrose, Minnesota and from there the investigation exploded identifying Kayla Knott as being responsible for arranging shipments to Robert Radka and Joe Myers.

And so I think I've created an adequate record for the factual basis here in a summary fashion. There's a lot more to the story, Judge, but I think that covers it.

THE COURT: Mr. Myers, I certainly don't mean to lose the forest for the trees here but Ms. Knott was in Mexico. The arrest warrant was issued for her.

```
1
    Actually there were several in the record that were
2
    issued and you indicated that she was expelled and
3
    apprehended in Brownsville but I see that the record
    seems to indicate she was arrested in the Northern
4
5
    District of Texas. I thought Brownsville was --
 6
                MR. MYERS:
                            Yeah.
7
                THE COURT: -- on the border.
                MR. MYERS: I might have the city wrong,
8
9
    Judge.
10
                THE COURT: It's not important to the
11
    factual basis but --
12
                MR. MYERS: In any event she was expelled by
    Mexican immigration and FBI arranged for an appearance
13
    in Texas so I might have the city wrong. I know
14
15
    Brownsville has been on the news so maybe that's where I
16
    thought of it but it might have been a different city.
    Miss Knott would know.
17
18
                THE DEFENDANT: I think it's Johnson County.
    I was in Johnson County and it's in Dallas I believe.
19
20
                THE COURT: Okay. Well, regardless it
2.1
    just -- I was trying to follow, especially with regards
22
    to the continuing criminal enterprise. Thank you,
    Mr. Myers.
23
24
                Ms. Martinez, any objection to the factual
25
    basis as set forth by the United States?
```

MS. MARTINEZ: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, Ms. Knott, it is the finding of the Court that you are fully competent. You're clearly fully capable of entering informed pleas in this matter.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: It's the finding of the Court that you are aware of the nature of the charges and of the consequences of pleading guilty, and it's the finding of the Court that your pleas, all three of them, and the admission are knowing and voluntary pleas and they are supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the three offenses charged and the forfeiture that is alleged. Your pleas and admission are accepted. You are now adjudged as guilty. I make the same findings on the civil forfeiture per the civil standard.

I will order a Presentence Investigation

Report and, Ms. Knott, what that means is that Dyan

Jorgenson's going to be reaching out to you to

communicate with you and there will be an interview by

her for the Presentence Investigation Report. And

she'll develop this report and the parties will have

chances to object to it and then we'll use that report

to impose sentence according to paragraph 10 of the Plea

```
Agreement and according to the letter and the spirit of
1
2
    the law and the Plea Agreement itself.
3
                Now every step of the way you have the right
    to counsel so it isn't like Ms. Jorgenson's going to
4
5
    reach out to you without Ms. Martinez there. When you
    have your interview with probation, Ms. Martinez will be
6
7
    there, okay?
8
                THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
9
                THE COURT: All right. What's your date of
    birth?
10
11
                THE DEFENDANT: 10/19/1992.
12
                THE COURT: So you're just barely 30 years
    old.
13
14
                THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
15
                THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions
    for me about how this goes moving forward?
16
                THE DEFENDANT: No.
17
18
                THE COURT: Okay. I have a sentencing date
19
    and the sentencing date that I have right now is Monday,
20
    August 7, at 9 a.m.
2.1
                Mr. Myers, do you think that works for the
    United States?
22
23
                MR. MYERS: That would be fine, Judge.
24
    anticipate the parties will request that date be moved
25
    so -- and, of course, it will take some time for
```

```
1
    Miss Jorgenson to digest the discovery in this case
    so -- but that's fine for us.
2
3
                THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Martinez, for the
    defense?
4
5
                MS. MARTINEZ: Agreed.
6
                THE COURT: Okay. We'll set it for Monday,
7
    August 7, and then we'll tickle the file expecting that
    it will likely be moved. I think that covers
8
9
    everything, Ms. Knott. Do you have any questions for
10
    the Court?
11
                THE DEFENDANT: No.
12
                THE COURT: Ms. Martinez, anything further
    on behalf of the defense?
13
14
                MS. MARTINEZ: No, Your Honor.
15
                THE COURT: Mr. Myers, anything further on
16
    behalf of the United States?
17
                MR. MYERS: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
18
                THE COURT: Thank you. All righty. Good
19
    luck to you, Ms. Knott, and we'll see you sometime in
20
    August or a little later.
2.1
                THE DEFENDANT: Okay, thank you.
22
                THE COURT: Thank you. We're in recess.
23
                (Adjourned at 3:15 p.m.)
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Kelly A. Kroke, a duly appointed Registered Professional Reporter; DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I reported in shorthand the foregoing proceedings had and made a record at the time and place indicated. I DO HEREBY FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached (32) typewritten pages contain an accurate transcript of my shorthand notes then and there taken. Dated this 5th day of February, 2025. /s/Kelly A. Kroke KELLY A. KROKE - RPR, RMR United States District Court Reporter District of North Dakota Eastern Division